



Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: examiner informed the attorney that written description rejection is withdrawn and examiner also informed the attorneys that the instant application is similar to parent application 10/331,069 and JP '629 is a competent reference under 102 (b) for all composition claims except claims 14-15 since the claims are to compositions and JP discloses colorant at [0012] and JP under abstract discloses hair treatment agent and claims 14-15 are obvious over the combination of JP and patent '057 since patent '057 teaches pigment dispersions for coloring hair. Examiner also informed the attorney that claim 35 is allowed with respect to eyelashes and also to submit terminal disclaimer over the co-pending applications 10/331,069 and 10/532,361. Attorneys agreed to submit terminal disclaimers. Examiner also informed the attorneys that claim 26 is unclear and does not limit the scope of claim 25 at line 2, regarding "are stearic acid, palmitic acid, myristic acid". Attorney agreed and authorized the examiner by examiners amendment to incorporate the limitation of claim 36, (which is limited to eyelashes) into claim 35 and deleting "hair of the scalp and eyebrows" in claim 35 and change the dependency of remaining composition claims 3-8, 10, 16, 20-21, 24, 27, 29, 31 and 34, amending claim 26 and cancelling claims 1-2, 11, 13, 36-42 and 44-50.